

Zika virus disease in Var department, France

16 October 2019

Summary

On 1 October 2019, a case of locally acquired Zika virus (ZIKV) disease in France (Hyères city, Var department) was laboratory confirmed. The case had symptoms compatible with ZIKV disease during the first half of August 2019. The case did not report any history of travel to countries with historical ZIKV transmission. No evidence of sexual transmission was retrieved during the investigation. No imported ZIKV disease cases were reported in the area in 2019. Further epidemiological investigations are ongoing to define the most probable mode of transmission. At this stage, vector-borne ZIKV transmission is the hypothesis that forms the basis for this ECDC risk assessment. If this hypothesis turned out to be correct, this event would mark the first case of autochthonous vectorborne transmission of ZIKV in Europe.

Vector control measures are being implemented near the residence of the case. To date, investigations have not managed to identify additional cases, but further cases may be detected through ongoing active case finding.

Ae. albopictus is widely established in southern Europe (see *Ae. albopictus*, [current known distribution](#), August 2019) and a competent vector for ZIKV. However, it is considered a less competent vector than the tropical and subtropical vector *Ae. aegypti*. The occurrence of sporadic cases or clusters of locally acquired vector-borne ZIKV cases is possible, notably in the Mediterranean region of Europe when environmental conditions during summer and early autumn can support vector abundance and arbovirus replication at a level that is sufficient for autochthonous transmission of ZIKV. The report of a locally acquired ZIKV disease case in the southern part of France is thus not unexpected.

To date, and based on ECDC's epidemiological assessment, the probability of ongoing vector-borne local transmission in Hyères (and surrounding areas) is considered very low because current evidence does not indicate the existence of a more extensive cluster of ZIKV cases. As temperatures are progressively decreasing during autumn, the environmental conditions are currently not favourable for sustained transmission. The current risk posed to the population, including pregnant women and their unborn children, is very low. If autochthonous, vector-borne cases could be documented, for example by detecting additional locally acquired cases in the immediate vicinity of the case, the risk for pregnant women and unborn children would be low instead of very low. It is possible that the ongoing investigation will retrospectively identify locally acquired cases because *Ae. albopictus* abundance at the time the case had symptoms would have allowed vector-borne transmission. Currently, however, there is a very low likelihood that travellers to this area will become infected, introduce the virus, and initiate further local transmission in their EU/EEA country of residence.

Substantial uncertainty regarding possible local transmission at the time of publication remains. The level of risk should be re-assessed when significant new facts become available. For options for response, please refer to the section of that name below.

Event background

On 1 October 2019, one locally acquired Zika virus (ZIKV) disease case was confirmed in Hyères city, Var department, France. The case reported symptoms compatible with ZIKV disease (headache, retro-orbital pain, asthenia and muscle pain) between 29 July and 6 August 2019, followed by arthralgia on 13 August 2019 and a rash around 15 August 2019. The laboratory confirmation, issued by the French National Reference Centre for Arbovirus in Marseille, was based on detection of ZIKV RNA in an early sample and detection of IgM and ZIKV-specific neutralising antibodies in a later sample. Neither the patient nor the partner reported a history of travel to Zika-endemic countries. There is no element in the investigation supporting sexual transmission, and no routes of non-vector borne transmission could be established. As of 14 October, no further cases have been reported.

The following actions, in line with national recommendations, were implemented or will soon be initiated by the public health authorities [1]:

- Epidemiological and entomological investigations.
- Ongoing active case finding in the neighbourhood where the case is living.
- Retrospective case finding through a survey for healthcare workers (private sector, local health facilities, and clinical laboratories).
- Vector control measures have been implemented in accordance with the ministerial 'anti-dissemination plan' for dengue, chikungunya virus disease and ZIKV disease in metropolitan France since week 41 2019 [2]. Vector control measures focus on active search for *Aedes albopictus*, use of insecticides, and mosquito traps.
- Public communication and awareness campaign.

Disease background

Disease characteristics

ZIKV disease

Zika virus disease is a mosquito-borne disease caused by *Zika virus* (ZIKV, family: *Flaviridae*, genus: *Flavivirus*). Most infections are either asymptomatic or cause a mild illness with non-specific symptoms as fever, rash, conjunctivitis, muscle and joint pain, or headache. The duration is usually two to seven days. ZIKV-associated fatalities are rare [3]. However, ZIKV infection during pregnancy has been shown to increase the risk of congenital malformations and birth defects since women can transmit the ZIKV to their unborn babies [4-7]. ZIKV infection during pregnancy is also associated with other complications of pregnancy including preterm birth and miscarriage [8]. In addition, serious neurological conditions were reported among adults and older children associated with ZIKV infection, notably Guillain-Barré syndrome, various neuropathies and myelitis [8]. For more details, a recent review paper by Musso et al. provides a comprehensive overview of evidence, obtained since the pandemic, regarding ZIKV disease [9].

ZIKV diagnostics are based on the detection of viral RNA in clinical specimens and/or the detection of ZIKV-specific antibodies in serum. ZIKV RNA can be detected using nucleic acid amplification tests (NAT) in a variety of body fluids and tissues such as blood (whole blood, plasma and serum), saliva, urine, cerebrospinal fluid, amniotic fluid, semen, and breast milk [8,10]. In comparison with Dengue virus and Chikungunya virus, ZIKV viremia tends to be short and low.

Reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) is the most reliable method for diagnostic and ZIKV RNA is usually detectable in serum or plasma during the first 3–5 days after the onset of symptoms (sometimes up to 7–8 days) [11]. ZIKV RNA in plasma and serum by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) is possible from two days before onset of symptoms to usually 3–5 days after the onset of symptoms (sometimes up to 7–8 days) [11]. Whole blood seems to provide a longer detection window for ZIKV RNA in comparison with plasma and serum, with detection being reported up to 120 days after onset of symptoms [12-14]. Urine is also known to broaden the detection window: ZIKV RNA has been detected in urine up to 2–3 weeks after onset of symptoms [12].

Counotte et al. performed a systematic review on the sexual transmission of ZIKV and its presence in semen and vaginal fluid after infection [15]. They retrieved evidence from two prospective cohorts that ZIKV RNA is present in human semen with a median duration of 34 days (95% CI: 28–41 days) and 35 days, respectively [15]. Aggregated data about detection of ZIKV RNA from 37 case reports and few case series indicate a median duration of detection of ZIKV RNA of 40 days. The maximum duration of detection of ZIKV RNA reported is 370 days in semen. In human vaginal fluid, median duration was 14 days, and maximum duration was 37 days [15].

Serologic methods rely on the detection of IgM, IgG, and recently also IgA antibodies, by a variety of methods from serum or plasma with the 'gold standard' serology assay based on the detection of neutralising antibodies. IgM can typically be detected 5–7 days post onset of illness (range of 2–12 days) and can persist for several months to up to one and a half years [16–18]. Sero-diagnosis of cases relies on detection of ZIKV-specific IgM antibodies and confirmation by neutralisation, seroconversion, or fourfold antibody titre increase of ZIKV-specific antibodies in paired serum samples (acute and convalescent phase). Serological results should be interpreted according to the vaccination status and previous exposure to other arbovirus infections due to extensive cross-reactivity within the genus *Flavivirus* (e.g. dengue virus, West Nile virus, Japanese encephalitis virus and yellow fever virus). It is important to keep in mind that IgM is not always detectable, especially in patients with previous exposure to flavivirus(es) (either vaccination or natural infection). According to the US CDC, serum and urine specimens should be collected between symptoms onset up to 10 to 12 weeks after for Zika virus for serology and nucleic acid amplification [19].

ZIKV diagnostics are widely offered in 27 EU/EEA countries. An overview of different types of ZIKV diagnostic is available through [EVD-LabNet expert laboratories](#).

The *Aedes aegypti* mosquito is the main vector but other *Aedes* species can also transmit the virus [20]. The most probable candidate vector for ZIKV in Europe is considered to be *Aedes albopictus* (see below) [20]. An American population of *Ae. vexans* sampled in Colorado has been found competent for ZIKV, but so far no vector competence study is available for mosquitoes population from Europe [21,22]. Based on recent study of vector competence for ZIKV in mosquitoes populations collected in Catalonia, Spain, *Aedes caspius*, a widely distributed species in the Western Palaearctic, is unlikely to transmit the disease in Europe [23].

ZIKV can also be transmitted from mother to foetus during pregnancy, through sexual contact, and through transfusion of blood and blood products. There is no prophylactic or curative treatment and there is no vaccine to protect against ZIKV infection. Therefore, personal preventive measures are recommended to avoid mosquito bites during daytime.

More information about ZIKV disease is available in the ECDC [factsheet for health professionals](#), in previous [ECDC risk assessments](#) and in the [WHO Zika virus factsheet](#) [10,24,25]

Recent developments ZIKV disease epidemiology

The ECDC Rapid Risk Assessment on ZIKV transmission, published on 11 April 2019, provides an extensive overview of the epidemiological situation by world region [26]. In 2019, and as of week 41, the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) reported a total of 4 299 cases in its region, a substantial increase compared to the same period in 2018 (2 818 cases). Between the 25th and the 39th epidemiological week, Brazil reported 540 cases, Peru 386, Mexico 18, Puerto Rico 16, Colombia 9, and Bolivia 5. Despite limited data available based on comprehensive epidemiological surveillance, ZIKV is considered as endemic in several countries of South-East Asia, Western Africa and Central Africa [27,28].

Aedes albopictus in Europe

Ae. albopictus is widely established in southern Europe (see *Ae. albopictus*, [current known distribution](#), August 2019 [29]) and is a competent vector for ZIKV. However, it is considered a less competent vector than the primary tropical and subtropical vector *Ae. aegypti* [30], which is not established in the continental EU (see [ECDC factsheet for experts](#)) [10,31,32]. The degree of vector competence in laboratory studies varies with the origin of the ZIKV and the origin of the *Ae. albopictus* colony [30]. The vector is not generally known to act as a driver of ZIKV epidemics in areas where *Ae. aegypti* is absent or where its population is too small to have epidemiological importance (with the possible exception of one study in Gabon [33]), but infection studies of *Ae. albopictus* suggest a possible contribution to ZIKV outbreaks [34–36].

Population dynamics of *Ae. albopictus* are mainly driven by temperature (survival of adults and development of larvae) and rainfall favouring the presence of breeding sites, either of natural origin (small natural water bodies) or man-made (containers of any kind) [37]. *Ae. albopictus* was first detected in France in 2004 in Menton, 130 km northeast of Hyères, and has since been spreading westward and northward [38], establishing itself in Hyères in 2009 [39–41]. The mosquito is now abundant in the region and was incriminated in the transmission of dengue cases in nearby Toulon [42,43]. *Ae. albopictus* is normally active in southeast France from May until the beginning of November [41,44].

Disease surveillance for ZIKV disease in the EU

ZIKV disease is a notifiable disease in the EU ([case definition](#)) [45].

Between 2015 and week 40 of 2019, 22 EU/EEA Member States reported case-based data on 2 414 travel-associated ZIKV disease cases through TESSy, The European Surveillance System. Since 2016, when 2 059 travel-associated cases were reported, the number of new travel-associated cases has fallen substantially: from 264 to 48 and 18 travel-associated cases reported in 2017, 2018, and 2019, respectively.

As of week 40, no vector-borne locally acquired ZIKV disease cases have been reported among EU/EEA countries (continental Europe). However, 25 locally acquired ZIKV disease – not vector-borne – cases have been reported since 2016. Of these, 22 have been reported as sexual transmission events from returning travellers to their partners in the EU/EEA (20 in 2016, one in 2017 and one in 2019). Of the three remaining locally acquired ZIKV disease cases, one was reported as a mother-to-child transmission event, and for two the transmission status was unknown, but the reported case resided in a place where *Ae. albopictus* was absent.

In 2019, as of week 40, 19 ZIKV disease cases were reported, of which 18 were travel-associated and one locally acquired through sexual transmission. Among the travel-associated cases, Thailand was the most frequent probable country of infection (n=9, 50% of the total). The locally acquired case contracted the infection from a partner who was infected in Thailand.

Between 2015 and week 40 of 2019, France has reported 1 163 travel-associated ZIKV disease cases: 1 119 in 2016, 27 in 2017, 10 in 2018, and 7 in 2019. France reported a total of 13 sexually transmitted locally acquired cases, 12 in 2016 and one in 2017.

Further information can be found in ECDC's Annual epidemiological report [46], the online [Surveillance Atlas of Infectious Diseases](#) [47] and the online four-monthly updated table presenting travel-associated Zika virus disease cases in the EU/EEA [48].

Risk assessment questions

This risk assessment addresses the public health significance of the report of a locally acquired ZIKV disease case in an area with established populations of *Ae. albopictus*.

ECDC risk assessment for the EU/EEA

Although investigations are ongoing, to date the mode of transmission has not been unambiguously established and no primary case has been identified. No evidence for sexual transmission was found; no routes of non-vector borne transmission could be established. Hence, a vector-borne ZIKV transmission event is hypothesised for the assessment below.

The overall number of travel-associated ZIKV disease cases reported in EU/EEA countries has decreased drastically since 2016, mainly due to the general reduction of ZIKV circulation after the epidemic in the Americas in 2015 and 2016. ZIKV disease cases are still reported in various countries of the Americas and also in Africa and Asia. A very low number of travel-associated cases of ZIKV disease in the EU/EEA has been reported in the past months (see section above). Thus, despite being rare, the importation of ZIKV to EU/EEA countries by viraemic travellers returning from areas with active ZIKV transmission is possible, especially from (or to) major touristic destinations. The region where the case resides, Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur, France, is a popular tourist destination, with 55 million nights spent in tourist accommodations in 2017 [49].

The occurrence of sporadic cases or clusters of locally acquired vector-borne ZIKV disease is possible in the Mediterranean region and southern parts of EU/EEA countries during the summer and autumn seasons due to the presence of established and active populations of *Ae. albopictus*, a competent vector for ZIKV. In the southern part of Europe in areas with established populations of *Ae. albopictus*, environmental conditions during summer and early autumn can support vector abundance and arbovirus replication at a level that is sufficient for autochthonous transmission of ZIKV, which could then lead to sporadic cases or localised cluster/outbreaks after importation of the virus. Environmental conditions may remain suitable for significant vector activity until mid-October [37,44] but are expected to become progressively less suitable during October and November [37,44]. There is no evidence of sufficient ZIKV replication in *Ae. albopictus* to support transmission at or below 18 °C [50]. A study on *Ae. aegypti* suggests a thermal range for vector competence of 22.9–38.4°C [51], while another found evidence of ZIKV in saliva of *Ae. aegypti* kept at 20 °C [52].

The report of a locally acquired ZIKV disease case in the southern part of France is not unexpected and was anticipated in previous ECDC risk assessments [26]. This is supported by recurring arbovirus transmission in the south of Europe throughout the past decade, for example locally acquired dengue cases, a closely related arbovirus of the genus *Flavivirus*, and chikungunya virus disease cases [53,54]. Nonetheless, at this time of the year, the likelihood of local onward vector-borne transmission of ZIKV disease in the Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur region of France is considered very low.

To date, and based on an ECDC epidemiological assessment and additional information, the probability of current vector-borne transmission in Hyères is very low because no cluster of cases has been identified. In addition, environmental conditions are currently not favourable for sustained transmission. The likelihood that travellers to this area (Hyères city) become infected and subsequently introduce the virus – and initiate further local transmission in their EU/EEA country of residence – remains very low. Given the current very low likelihood of local vector-borne transmission, the current risk posed to the general population, including pregnant women and their unborn children, is very low. If autochthonous, vector-borne could be documented, for example by detecting additional locally acquired cases in the immediate vicinity of the case, pregnant women and their unborn children

would be at low risk. If this hypothesis turned out to be correct, this event would mark the first case of autochthonous vectorborne transmission of ZIKV in Europe. If epidemiologic investigations fail to identify the primary (imported) case, evidence for local vector-borne transmission would be classified as a 'cryptic' ZIKV disease case¹.

Data, though limited, indicate that there is a risk that ZIKV transmission can occur through substances of human origin (SoHO), especially through blood transfusion [55,56]. The high proportion of asymptomatic cases [57-60], the documented occurrence of Zika RNA-positive blood donations during epidemics [61-63], and the reports of probable transfusion-transmitted cases during outbreaks [64,65] indicate that Zika-positive blood, donated by an asymptomatic infectious donor, may enter the blood supply and could be transfused to a patient. However, the low number of transfusion-transmitted cases, all without clinical consequences in recipients, preclude a more accurate risk assessment. The likelihood of maternal and foetal exposure to blood products and presumably to other SoHO is very low [66]. No cases of Zika virus transmission through donated cells, tissues, and organs have been reported, but transmission cannot be excluded due to the confirmed presence of the virus in human blood and body fluids. Considering the current assessment of the risk of vector-borne transmission in the Hyères city area being very low, the risk of ZIKV transmission through SoHO in this area, as well as the risk of ZIKV infection in donors visiting this area, would also be very low.

With the information currently available, there is substantial uncertainty regarding the possibility of local transmission. At the time of publication, the extent of such focal transmission based on a single case is expected to be very limited and local. However, it is possible that the ongoing investigation will retrospectively identify locally acquired cases, because *Ae. albopictus* abundance around the time the case had symptoms would probably have been permissive for vector-borne transmission. Nonetheless, based on the currently available information, the overall public health risk associated to the event is very low.

The level of risk should be re-assessed if significant new facts become available through epidemiological, laboratory and entomological investigations.

Options for response

In the case of confirmed vector-borne transmission, Member States may consider applying risk control measures as outlined in the previous [ECDC Rapid Risk Assessment Zika virus transmission worldwide](#) [26].

Limitations

This risk assessment is based on information available at the time of publication. While the epidemiological investigation is ongoing, there is a substantial uncertainty regarding the extent of potential circulation of the virus; further cases might be detected through active case finding.

Source and date of request

ECDC internal decision, 10 October 2019.

Consulted experts

ECDC experts (in alphabetic order): Leonidas Alexakis, Susana Barragan, Sergio Brusin, Olivier Briët, Dragoslav Domanovic, Joana Haussig, Emmanuel Robesyn, Bertrand Sudre and Johanna Young.

External experts: Marie-Claire Paty (Agence nationale de santé publique, France); Harold Noel (Agence nationale de santé publique, France); Isabelle Leparc-Goffart (French National Reference centre for Arboviruses, Institut de Recherche Biomédicale des Armées, UVE: Aix-Marseille Univ-IRD 190-Inserm 1207-IHU Méditerranée Infection, Marseille France); Chantal Reusken (EVD-LabNet, Erasmus MC, RIVM, the Netherlands); Jonas Schmidt-Chanasit (EVD-LabNet, Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine, Germany); Remi Charrel (EVD-LabNet, Unité des Virus Emergents, Aix Marseille University, France).

All experts have submitted declarations of interest, and a review of these declarations did not reveal any conflict of interest.

Disclaimer

ECDC issues this risk assessment document based on an internal decision and in accordance with Article 10 of Decision No 1082/13/EC and Article 7(1) of Regulation (EC) No 851/2004 establishing a European centre for

¹ 'Cryptic' in this context indicates 'of unknown origin', in analogy with the term used in malariaology (see [US CDC glossary](#)).

disease prevention and control (ECDC). In the framework of ECDC's mandate, the specific purpose of an ECDC risk assessment is to present different options on a certain matter. The responsibility on the choice of which option to pursue and which actions to take, including the adoption of mandatory rules or guidelines, lies exclusively with the EU/EEA Member States. In its activities, ECDC strives to ensure its independence, high scientific quality, transparency, and efficiency.

This report was written with the coordination and assistance of an Internal Response Team at the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. All data published in this risk assessment are correct to the best of our knowledge at the time of publication. Maps and figures published do not represent a statement on the part of ECDC or its partners on the legal or border status of the countries and territories shown.

References

1. Agence régionale de santé Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur. Communiqué de Presse: Présence d'un cas autochtone de Zika dans le département du Var. [Internet]. Marseille: Paca; 2019 [updated 9 October 2019]. Available from: <https://www.paca.ars.sante.fr/system/files/2019-10/Cp%20Zika.pdf>
2. Agence régionale de santé Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur. Surveillance épidémiologique de la dengue, du chikungunya et du zika [Internet]. Marseille: Paca; 2019 [updated 9 October 2019]. Available from: <https://www.paca.ars.sante.fr/surveillance-epidemiologique-de-la-dengue-du-chikungunya-et-du-zika>
3. Sarmiento-Ospina A, Vasquez-Serna H, Jimenez-Canizales CE, Villamil-Gomez WE, Rodriguez-Morales AJ. Zika virus associated deaths in Colombia. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2016 May;16(5):523-4.
4. Hoen B, Schaub B, Funk AL, Ardillon V, Boullard M, Cabié A, et al. Pregnancy outcomes after ZIKV infection in French territories in the Americas. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(11):985-94.
5. Shapiro-Mendoza CK, Rice ME, Galang RR, Fulton AC, VanMaldeghem K, Prado MV, et al. Pregnancy Outcomes After Maternal Zika Virus Infection During Pregnancy - U.S. Territories, January 1, 2016-April 25, 2017. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2017 Jun 16;66(23):615-21.
6. Honein MA, Dawson AL, Petersen EE, Jones AM, Lee EH, Yazdy MM, et al. Birth defects among fetuses and infants of US women with evidence of possible Zika virus infection during pregnancy. *JAMA*. 2017;317(1):59-68.
7. Rocha SGMO, Correia LL, da Cunha AJLA, Rocha HAL, Leite ÁJM, Campos JS, et al. Zika Virus Infection and Microcephaly: A Case-Control Study in Brazil. *Annals of global health*. 2019;85(1).
8. World Health Organization. Fact sheet: Zika virus [Internet]. Geneva: WHO; 2018 [updated 2018 July; cited 2019 4 April 2019]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/zika-virus>
9. Musso D, Ko AI, Baud D. Zika Virus Infection — After the Pandemic. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(15):1444-57.
10. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Factsheet about Zika virus disease [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2019 Oct 11]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/zika-virus-infection/facts/factsheet>
11. Sharp TM, Fischer M, Munoz-Jordan JL, Paz-Bailey G, Staples JE, Gregory CJ, et al. Dengue and Zika Virus Diagnostic Testing for Patients with a Clinically Compatible Illness and Risk for Infection with Both Viruses. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2019 Jun 14;68(1):1-10.
12. Rossini G, Gaibani P, Vocale C, Cagarelli R, Landini MP. Comparison of Zika virus (ZIKV) RNA detection in plasma, whole blood and urine – Case series of travel-associated ZIKV infection imported to Italy, 2016. *J Infect*. 2017;75(3):242-5.
13. Mansuy JM, Mengelle C, Pasquier C, Chapuy-Regaud S, Delobel P, Martin-Blondel G, et al. Zika Virus Infection and Prolonged Viremia in Whole-Blood Specimens. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2017 May;23(5):863-5.
14. Voermans JJC, Pas SD, van der Linden A, GeurtsvanKessel C, Koopmans M, van der Eijk A, et al. Whole-Blood Testing for Diagnosis of Acute Zika Virus Infections in Routine Diagnostic Setting. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2019 Jul;25(7):1394-6.
15. Counotte MJ, Kim CR, Wang J, Bernstein K, Deal CD, Broutet NJN, et al. Sexual transmission of Zika virus and other flaviviruses: A living systematic review. *PLoS Med*. 2018 Jul;15(7):e1002611.
16. Rabe IB, Staples JE, Villanueva J, Hummel KB, Johnson JA, Rose L, et al. Interim Guidance for Interpretation of Zika Virus Antibody Test Results. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2016 Jun 3;65(21):543-6.
17. Griffin I, Martin SW, Fischer M, Chambers TV, Kosoy O, Falise A, et al. Zika Virus IgM Detection and Neutralizing Antibody Profiles 12-19 Months after Illness Onset. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2019 Feb;25(2):299-303.
18. Hoen B, Carpentier M, Gaete S, Tressieres B, Herrmann-Storck C, Vingadassalom I, et al. Kinetics of anti-Zika virus antibodies after acute infection in pregnant women. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2019 Aug 28.
19. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Testing & Diagnosis for Zika Virus [Internet]. Atlanta: CDC; 2019 [updated 17 July 2019]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/pregnancy/zika/testing-follow-up/testing-and-diagnosis.html>
20. Boyer S, Calvez E, Chouin-Carneiro T, Diallo D, Failloux AB. An overview of mosquito vectors of Zika virus. *Microbes Infect*. 2018 Dec;20(11-12):646-60.
21. Gendernalik A, Weger-Lucarelli J, Garcia Luna SM, Fauver JR, Ruckert C, Murrieta RA, et al. American Aedes vexans Mosquitoes are Competent Vectors of Zika Virus. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2017 Jun;96(6):1338-40.

22. MediLabSecure. Fact sheet: *Aedes vexans* [Internet]. Paris: MediLabSecure; 2019 [cited 2019 Oct 15]. Available from: https://www.medilabsecure.com/documents/site/ae_vexans_factsheet.pdf
23. Nunez AI, Talavera S, Aranda C, Birnberg L, Rivas R, Pujol N, et al. European *Aedes caspius* mosquitoes are experimentally unable to transmit Zika virus. *Parasites & vectors*. 2019 Jul 25;12(1):363.
24. World Health Organization, Regional Office for the Western Pacific. Zika virus [Internet]. Manila: WHO WPR; 2015 [updated 2016 Jan]. Available from: http://www.wpro.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs_05182015_zika/en/
25. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Outbreak reports Zika epidemics 2014-2017 Zika transmission Outbreak reports on Zika virus disease 2019 [cited 2019 Oct 15]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/zika-virus-infection/threats-and-outbreaks/outbreak-reports>
26. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Zika virus transmission worldwide [Internet]. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019 [updated 9 April 2019]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/zika-risk-assessment-9-april-2019.pdf>
27. Ruchusatsawat K, Wongjaroen P, Posanacharoen A, Rodriguez-Barraquer I, Sangkitporn S, Cummings DAT, et al. Long-term circulation of Zika virus in Thailand: an observational study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2019 Feb 27.
28. Dinh TC, Bac ND, Ngoc VTN, Pham V-H, Vo H-L, Tien NLB, et al. Zika virus in Vietnam, Laos, and Cambodia: are there health risks for travelers? *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2019:1-6.
29. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control and European Food Safety Authority. *Aedes albopictus* - current known distribution: August 2019 [Internet]. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019 [updated 30 August 2019; cited 2019 20 Sept]. Available from: <https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/aedes-albopictus-current-known-distribution-august-2019>
30. McKenzie BA, Wilson AE, Zohdy S. *Aedes albopictus* is a competent vector of Zika virus: A meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(5):e0216794.
31. Lambrechts L, Scott TW, Gubler DJ. Consequences of the expanding global distribution of *Aedes albopictus* for dengue virus transmission. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2010;4(5):e646.
32. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. *Aedes albopictus* - Factsheet for experts [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2019 Sept 20]. Available from: <https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/disease-vectors/facts/mosquito-factsheets/aedes-albopictus>
33. Grard G, Caron M, Mombo IM, Nkoghe D, Ondo SM, Jiolle D, et al. Zika virus in Gabon (Central Africa)–2007: a new threat from *Aedes albopictus*? *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2014;8(2):e2681.
34. Smartt CT, Stenn TM, Chen T-Y, Teixeira MG, Queiroz EP, Souza Dos Santos L, et al. Evidence of Zika virus RNA fragments in *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) field-collected eggs from Camaçari, Bahia, Brazil. *J Med Entomol*. 2017;54(4):1085-7.
35. Johari N, Toh S, Voon K, Lim P. Short Communication Detection of Zika virus RNA in *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* larvae in Klang Valley, Peninsular Malaysia. *Tropical Biomedicine*. 2019;36(1):310-4.
36. Maia L, Bezerra M, Costa M, Souza E, Oliveira M, Ribeiro A, et al. Natural vertical infection by dengue virus serotype 4, Zika virus and Mayaro virus in *Aedes* (*Stegomyia*) *aegypti* and *Aedes* (*Stegomyia*) *albopictus*. *Med Vet Entomol*. 2019.
37. Tran A, L'Ambert G, Lacour G, Benoît R, Demarchi M, Cros M, et al. A rainfall-and temperature-driven abundance model for *Aedes albopictus* populations. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2013;10(5):1698-719.
38. Scholte E-J, Schaffner F. 14. Waiting for the tiger: establishment and spread of the *Aedes albopictus* mosquito in Europe. *Emerging pests and vector-borne diseases in Europe*. 2007;1:241.
39. *Albopictus83*. En Provence-Alpes-Côte-d'Azur [Internet]. 2013 [updated 11 Oct 2013]. Available from: <http://albopictus83.org/index.php/une-competence-du-cg/129-en-provence-alpes-cote-dazur>
40. ARS Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur. Plan de lutte contre la propagation des maladies vectorielles transmises par *Aedes albopictus* : chikungunya, dengue et zika. 2018 [cited 2019 Oct 10]. Available from: https://www.lepoet-hautes-alpes.com/userfile/affichage/PLAN_DE_LUTTE_MOUSTIQUE_TIGRE.pdf
41. Entente interdépartementale pour la démoustication du littoral méditerranéen. Surveillance du moustique *Aedes albopictus* en France métropolitaine Bilan 2018.: Direction Générale de la Santé.; 2019 [cited 2019 Oct 10]. Available from: https://solidarites-sante.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/bilan_surv_albopioctus_2018.pdf
42. Rezza G. Dengue and other *Aedes*-borne viruses: A threat to Europe? *Euro Surveill*. 2016;21(21):1-3.
43. Schaffner F, Fontenille D, Mathis A. Autochthonous dengue emphasises the threat of arbovirogenesis in Europe. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2014;14(11):1044.
44. Lacour G, Chanaud L, L'Ambert G, Hance T. Seasonal synchronization of diapause phases in *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *PLoS One*. 2015;10(12):e0145311.
45. European Commission. COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (EU) 2018/945 of 22 June 2018 on the communicable diseases and related special health issues to be covered by epidemiological surveillance as well as relevant case definitions 2018 [cited 2019]. Available from: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32018D0945&from=EN>

46. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Annual Epidemiological Report for 2017 - Zika virus disease [Internet]. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019 [cited 2019 Oct 11]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/zika-virus-disease-annual-epidemiological-report-2017>
47. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Surveillance Atlas of Infectious Diseases [Internet]. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019 [cited 2019 20 Sept]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/zika-virus-infection/surveillance-and-disease-data/disease-data>
48. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Travel-associated Zika virus disease cases in the EU/EEA [Internet]. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019 [cited 2019 Oct 15]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/all-topics-zzika-virus-infectionsurveillance-and-disease-data/travel-associated-zika-virus-disease>
49. Eurostat. Tourism statistics at regional level 2019 [cited 2019 Oct 15]. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Tourism_statistics_at_regional_level
50. Heitmann A, Jansen S, Luhken R, Leggewie M, Badusche M, Pluskota B, et al. Experimental transmission of Zika virus by mosquitoes from central Europe. *Euro Surveill.* 2017 Jan 12;22(2).
51. Tesla B, Demakovskiy LR, Mordecai EA, Ryan SJ, Bonds MH, Ngonghala CN, et al. Temperature drives Zika virus transmission: evidence from empirical and mathematical models. *Proc Biol Sci.* 2018 Aug 15;285(1884).
52. Hernández-Triana LM, Barrero E, Delacour-Estrella S, Ruiz-Arrondo I, Lucientes J, de Marco MdMF, et al. Evidence for infection but not transmission of Zika virus by *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) from Spain. *Parasites & vectors.* 2019;12(1):204.
53. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Autochthonous transmission of chikungunya virus disease in Europe, 2007–2018 [Internet]. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019 [updated 9 August 2019; cited 2019 20 September]. Available from: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/all-topics-z/chikungunya-virus-disease/surveillance-and-disease-data/autochthonous-transmission>
54. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Autochthonous transmission of dengue in EU/EEA [Internet]. Stockholm: ECDC; 2019 [updated 9 August 2019; cited 2019 20 September]. Available from: <https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/all-topics-z/dengue/surveillance-and-disease-data/autochthonous-transmission-dengue-eueea>
55. Musso D, Stramer SL, Busch MP. Zika virus: a new challenge for blood transfusion. *Lancet.* 2016;387(10032):1993-4.
56. Lanteri MC, Kleinman SH, Glynn SA, Musso D, Keith Hoots W, Custer BS, et al. Zika virus: a new threat to the safety of the blood supply with worldwide impact and implications. *Transfusion.* 2016;56(7):1907-14.
57. Aubry M, Teissier A, Huart M, Merceron S, Vanhomwegen J, Roche C, et al. Zika virus seroprevalence, French Polynesia, 2014–2015. *Emerg Infect Dis.* Epub 2017 Jan 13;23(4).
58. Gallian P, Cabie A, Richard P, Patureau L, Charrel RN, Pastorino B, et al. Zika virus in asymptomatic blood donors in Martinique. *Blood.* 2017;129(2):263-6.
59. Galel SA, Williamson PC, Busch MP, Stanek D, Bakkour S, Stone M, et al. First Zika-positive donations in the continental United States. *Transfusion.* 2017 Feb 05.
60. Williamson PC, Linnen JM, Kessler DA, Shaz BH, Kamel H, Vassallo RR, et al. First cases of Zika virus-infected US blood donors outside states with areas of active transmission. *Transfusion.* 2017 Feb 23.
61. Musso D, Nhan T, Robin E, Roche C, Bierlaire D, Zisou K, et al. Potential for Zika virus transmission through blood transfusion demonstrated during an outbreak in French Polynesia, November 2013 to February 2014. *Euro Surveill* [Internet]. 2014; 19(14). Available from: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=20761>.
62. Aubry M, Finke J, Teissier A, Roche C, Broult J, Paulous S, et al. Seroprevalence of arboviruses among blood donors in French Polynesia, 2011–2013. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2015 Oct 23;41:11-2.
63. Vasquez AM, Sapiano MR, Basavaraju SV, Kuehnert MJ, Rivera-Garcia B. Survey of blood collection centers and implementation of guidance for prevention of transfusion-transmitted Zika virus infection - Puerto Rico, 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016;65(14):375-8.
64. Barjas-Castro ML, Angerami RN, Cunha MS, Suzuki A, Nogueira JS, Rocco IM, et al. Probable transfusion-transmitted Zika virus in Brazil. *Transfusion.* 2016;56(7):1684-8.
65. Motta IJ, Spencer BR, Cordeiro da Silva SG, Arruda MB, Dobbin JA, Gonzaga YB, et al. Evidence for transmission of Zika virus by platelet transfusion. *N Engl J Med.* Epub 2016 Aug 17.
66. Murphy MS, Shehata N, Colas JA, Chasse M, Fergusson DA, O'Brien SF, et al. Risk of exposure to blood products during pregnancy: guidance for Zika and other donor deferral policies. *Transfusion.* 2017 Feb 05.