***Parts in grey are to be filled in with appropriate data or ticked.***

**Declaration on honour**

The undersigned Click here to enter text. (*insert name and position of the signatory of this form*), representing:

|  |
| --- |
| (*only for legal persons*) the following legal person:  |
| Full official name: Click here to enter text.Official legal form: Click here to enter text.Statutory registration number**:** Click here to enter text.Full official address: Click here to enter text.VAT registration number: Click here to enter text. |

[authorised to represent the consortium,] hereby requests from ECDC a grant with a view to implementing the action Scientific Coordination on the terms laid down in this application and

|  |
| --- |
| 1. declares that the applicant is fully eligible in accordance with the criteria set out in the specific call for proposals;
 |
| 1. declares that the applicant has the financial and operational capacity to carry out the proposed action;
 |
| 1. declares that the applicant has not received any other Union funding to carry out the action subject of this grant application and commits to declare immediately to ECDC any other such Union funding it would receive until the end of the action.
 |

I – Situation of exclusion concerning the applicant

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. declares that the applicant is in one of the following situations (if yes, please indicate the name of the concerned applicant(s) if any in annex to this declaration):
 | YES | NO |
| 1. it is bankrupt, subject to insolvency or winding up procedures, its assets are being administered by a liquidator or by a court, it is in an arrangement with creditors, its business activities are suspended or it is in any analogous situation arising from a similar procedure provided for under national legislation or regulations;
 | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. it has been established by a final judgement or a final administrative decision that the applicant is in breach of its obligations relating to the payment of taxes or social security contributions in accordance with the law of the country in which it is established, with those of the country in which the authorising officer is located or those where the action will be implemented;
 | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. it has been established by a final judgement or a final administrative decision that the applicant is guilty of grave professional misconduct by having violated applicable laws or regulations or ethical standards of the profession to which the applicant belongs, or by having engaged in any wrongful conduct which has an impact on its professional credibity where such conduct denotes wrongful intent or gross negligence, including, in particular, any of the following:

(i) fraudulently or negligently misrepresenting information required for the verification of the absence of grounds for exclusion or the fulfilment of selection criteria or in the performance of a contract, a grant agreement or a grant decision;(ii) entering into agreement with other persons with the aim of distorting competition;(iii) violating intellectual property rights;(iv) attempting to unfairly influence the decision-making process of ECDC during the award procedure; (v) attempting to obtain confidential information that may confer upon it undue advantages in the award procedure; | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. it has been established by a final judgement that the applicant is guilty of the following:
 |  |
| (i) fraud, within the meaning of Article 1 of the Convention on the protection of the European Communities' financial interests, drawn up by the Council Act of 26 July 1995; | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| (ii) corruption, as defined in Article 3 of the Convention on the fight against corruption involving officials of the European Communities or officials of EU Member States, drawn up by the Council Act of 26 May 1997, and in Article 2(1) of Council Framework Decision 2003/568/JHA, as well as corruption as defined in the legal provisions of the country where the authorising officer is located, the country in which the applicant is established or the country where the action will be implemented; | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| (iii) participation in a criminal organisation, as defined in Article 2 of Council Framework Decision 2008/841/JHA; | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| (iv) money laundering or terrorist financing, as defined in Article 1 of Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council; | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| (v) terrorist-related offences or offences linked to terrorist activities, as defined in Articles 1 and 3 of Council Framework Decision 2002/475/JHA, respectively, or inciting, aiding, abetting or attempting to commit such offences, as referred to in Article 4 of that Decision; | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| (vi) child labour or other forms of trafficking in human beings as defined in Article 2 of Directive 2011/36/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council; | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. it has shown significant deficiencies in complying with the main obligations in the performance of a contract, a grant agreement or a grant decision financed by the Union’s budget, which has led to its early termination or to the application of liquidated damages or other contractual penalties, or which has been discovered following checks, audits or investigations by an Authorising Officer, OLAF or the Court of Auditors;
 | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. it has been established by a final judgment or final administrative decision that the applicant has committed an irregularity within the meaning of Article 1(2) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2988/95;
 | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| 1. for the situations of grave professional misconduct, fraud, corruption, other criminal offences, significant deficiencies in the performance of a contract or irregularity, the applicant is subject to:
2. facts established in the context of audits or investigations carried out by the Court of Auditors, OLAF or internal audit, or any other check, audit or control performed under the responsibility of an authorising officer of an EU institution, of a European office or of an EU agency or body;
3. non-final administrative decisions which may include disciplinary measures taken by the competent supervisory body responsible for the verification of the application of standards of professional ethics;
4. decisions of the ECB, the EIB, the European Investment Fund or international organisations;
5. decisions of the Commission relating to the infringement of the Union's competition rules or of a national competent authority relating to the infringement of Union or national competition law.
6. decisions of exclusion by an authorising officer of an EU institution, of a European office or of an EU agency or body.
 | [ ]  | [ ]  |

II – Situations of exclusion concerning natural persons with power of representation, decision-making or control over the applicant

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. declares whether any natural person who is a member of the administrative, management or supervisory body of the applicant, or who has powers of representation, decision or control with regard to that applicant (this covers company directors, members of management or supervisory bodies, and cases where one person holds a majority of shares) is in one of the following situations or not (if a consortium and the response is yes, please indicate the name of the concerned applicant(s) in annex to this declaration):
 | YES | NO |
| Situation (c) above (grave professional misconduct) | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Situation (d) above (fraud, corruption or other criminal offence) | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Situation (e) above (significant deficiencies in performance of a contract ) | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Situation (f) above (irregularity) | [ ]  | [ ]  |

III – Situations of exclusion concerning natural or legal persons assuming unlimited liability for the debts of the applicant

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. declares that any natural or legal person that assumes unlimited liability for the debts of the applicant[s] is in one of the following situations (if a consortium and the response is yes, please indicate the name of the concerned applicant(s) in annex to this declaration):
 | YES | NO |
| Situation (a) above (bankruptcy) | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| Situation (b) above (breach in payment of taxes or social security contributions) | [ ]  | [ ]  |

IV – Remedial measures

If the applicant declares one or more of the situations of exclusion listed above, it should indicate the measures it has taken to remedy the exclusion situation, thus demonstrating its credibility. This may include e.g. technical, organisational and personnel measures to prevent further occurrence, compensation of damage or payment of fines. The relevant documentary evidence which illustrates the remedial measures taken must be provided in annex to this declaration. This does not apply for situations referred to in point (d) of this declaration.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

V – Evidence upon request

Upon request and within the time limit set by ECDC the applicant must provide information on the persons that are members of the administrative, management or supervisory body. It must also provide the following evidence concerning the applicant itself and concerning the natural or legal persons which assume unlimited liability for the debt of the applicant:

For situations described in (a), (c), (d) or (f), production of a recent extract from the judicial record is required or, failing that, an equivalent document recently issued by a judicial or administrative authority in the country of establishment of the applicant showing that those requirements are satisfied.

For the situation described in point (a) or (b), production of recent certificates issued by the competent authorities of the State concerned are required. These documents must provide evidence covering all taxes and social security contributions for which the applicant is liable, including for example, VAT, income tax (natural persons only), company tax (legal persons only) and social security contributions. Where any document described above is not issued in the country concerned, it may be replaced by a sworn statement made before a judicial authority or notary or, failing that, a solemn statement made before an administrative authority or a qualified professional body in its country of establishment.

If the applicant already submitted such evidence for the purpose of another procedure, its issuing date does not exceed one year and it is still valid, the applicant shall declare on its honour that the documentary evidence has already been provided and confirm that no changes have occurred which are relevant to the grant application and subsequent award decision.

If selected to be awarded a grant, the applicant accepts the general conditions as laid down in the published grant agreement.

**The applicant may be subject to rejection from this procedure and to administrative sanctions (exclusion or financial penaly) if any of the declarations or information provided as a condition for participating in this procedure prove to be false.**

Full name Date Signature

Click here to enter text. Click here to enter a date. Click here to enter text.